20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 ANDREW H. WILSON, ESQ. - State Bar No. 063209 LINDA M. FONG, ESQ. - State Bar No. 124232 WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 2 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450 San Francisco, California 94104 3 (415) 391-3900 4 LAURIE J. BARTILSON BOWLES & MOXON 5 RECEIVED 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000 Hollywood, California 6 NOV 08 1993 (213) 953-3360 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff **HUB LAW OFFICES** CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 11 12 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY CASE NO. 157680 13 INTERNATIONAL, a California notfor-profit religious corporation, PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF 14 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN Plaintiff, OPPOSITION TO ARMSTRONG'S 15 MOTION FOR STAY PENDING VS. COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS 16 GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON; Date: November 12, 1993 THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION, a Time: 9:00 a.m. California for-profit corporation; Dept: 1 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 18 Trial Date: None Defendants. 19

As more fully set forth in Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to Commence Coordination Proceedings concurrently filed with this Motion, the issue of coordination is premature. Moving parties Gerald Armstrong and The Armstrong Corporation (collectively, "Armstrong") apparently base their motion to stay on the following two grounds: Plaintiff Church of Scientology International ("Plaintiff") seeks discovery precluded in two Los Angeles cases and that the Los Angeles Court has stayed the actions in their courts.

SCI02.013 PLAINTIFF.OPP First, Armstrong II and III involve different questions of fact and law. It does not logically follow that because discovery is stayed in the Los Angeles cases, actions which entirely separate from this action, that discovery should not proceed in any relevant areas. Moreover, the fact that the Los Angeles courts have stayed litigation in the breach of contract case is not dispositive of whether this fraudulent conveyance action should be stayed.

Time is of the essence in fraudulent conveyance actions. If there is delay in the discovery process, Plaintiff will be substantially prejudiced. Tracing is an important part of such an action and if Armstrong is allowed to stay this proceeding, his is, in effect, allowed to buy time within which to transfer other assets and hide other assets during that time. On the other hand, if discovery goes forward on a timely basis, Plaintiff has the right to seek restraining orders based upon information it marshalled during the discovery process. If it is not allowed to proceed, its ability to trace any transfers and restrain them is unfairly hindered to Armstrong's substantial advantage.

For the foregoing reasons, and for those stated in the companion opposition to the motion to commence coordination proceedings, Plaintiff respectively requests that the motion for stay be denied.

Dated: //-5 , 1993

WILSON, RYAN, & CAMPILONGO

Linda M. Fong

Attorneys for Plaintiff CHURCH OF

SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL